

# THE *IRAS* 1-JY SURVEY OF ULTRALUMINOUS INFRARED GALAXIES: I. The Sample and Luminosity Function<sup>1</sup>

D.-C. Kim<sup>2</sup>, and D. B. Sanders

Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii  
2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822

## ABSTRACT

A complete flux-limited sample of 118 ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIGs) has been identified from the *IRAS* Faint Source Catalog (FSC). The selection criteria were a 60 micron flux density greater than 1 Jy in a region of the sky  $\delta > -40^\circ$ ,  $|b| > 30^\circ$ . All sources were subsequently reprocessed using coadded *IRAS* maps in order to obtain the best available flux estimates in all four *IRAS* wavelength bands. The maximum observed infrared luminosity is  $L_{\text{ir}} = 10^{12.90} L_\odot$ , and the maximum redshift is  $z = 0.268$ . The luminosity function for ULIGs over the decade luminosity range  $L_{\text{ir}} = 10^{12} - 10^{13} L_\odot$  can be approximated by a power law  $\Phi(L) \propto L^{-2.35} [\text{Mpc}^{-3} \text{mag}^{-1}]$ . In the local Universe ( $z \lesssim 0.1$ ), the space density of ULIGs appears to be comparable to or slightly larger than that of optically selected QSOs at comparable bolometric luminosities. A maximum likelihood test suggests strong evolution for our sample; assuming density evolution proportional to  $(1+z)^\alpha$  we find  $\alpha = 7.6 \pm 3.2$ . Examination of the two-point correlation function shows a barely significant level of clustering,  $\xi(r) = 1.6 \pm 1.2$ , on size scales  $r \sim 22 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ .

*Subject headings:* infrared: galaxies – galaxies: redshift – galaxies: luminosity function – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: clustering

## 1. Introduction

One of the important results of the *Infrared Astronomical Satellite*<sup>3</sup> (*IRAS*) all-sky survey was the discovery of a significant population of ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIGs: e.g. Soifer

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was part of a Ph.D. thesis by D.-C. Kim completed in the Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI

<sup>2</sup>Current address: Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, MS 100-22, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

<sup>3</sup>The Infrared Astronomical Satellite was developed and operated by the U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), the Netherlands Agency for Aerospace Programs (NIVR), and the U.K. Science and Engineering Research Council (SERC).

et al. 1986, 1987; Sanders et al. 1987, 1988a) whose infrared luminosities<sup>4</sup> ( $L_{\text{ir}} \geq 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ ) are equivalent to the bolometric luminosities of optically selected quasars (e.g. Schmidt & Green 1983). The origin of ULIGs and the source of their infrared power continue to be subjects of intense research.

The *IRAS* 1-Jy survey of ULIGs was begun in early 1991 as an outgrowth of the original *IRAS* Bright Galaxy Survey (BGS: Soifer et al. 1986, 1987, 1989). The BGS, which included all extragalactic infrared sources brighter than 5.24 Jy at  $60\mu\text{m}$  at high Galactic Latitude ( $|b| \gtrsim 30^\circ$ ), had resulted in the discovery of 10 ULIGs. The original intent of the 1-Jy survey was to increase this number of identified ULIGs by at least an order of magnitude in order to have a substantially larger sample of the nearest and brightest objects that could then be targeted for further ground-based study.

The 1-Jy survey began by using the second version of the the *IRAS Point Source Catalog* (1988: hereafter PSC88). However, the PSC88 was abandoned in late 1992 following the release of the *IRAS Faint Source Catalog - Version 2* (Moshir et al. 1992: hereafter FSC92). The FSC92 provided more accurate fluxes<sup>5</sup> and positions than the PSC88 and is now considered the standard reference catalog for *IRAS* sources. Section 2 provides a complete description of the selection criteria used in the 1-Jy survey and describes how candidates were selected from the FSC92. Section 3 describes the redshift survey that was subsequently carried out to identify ULIGs. The final 1-Jy sample (including finder charts for each source) is presented at the end of Section 3. Section 4 derives the luminosity function for ULIGs, while Section 5 discusses the general properties of ULIGs and compares the luminosity function of ULIGs with other classes of extragalactic objects.

More detailed analyses of individual sources in the 1-Jy sample are being presented in companion papers. Optical spectra of ULIGs that were obtained by Kim (1995) are analyzed in Kim, Veilleux & Sanders (1997: Paper II). More recently obtained optical spectra for the entire 1-Jy sample are analyzed in Veilleux, Kim, & Sanders (1998). Near-infrared spectra for ULIGs that were obtained with the United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) on Mauna Kea are presented in Veilleux, Sanders, & Kim (1997; 1998). Optical and near-infrared images that were obtained by Kim (1995) for the majority of the ULIGs are analyzed in Kim, Sanders, & Mazzarella (1998: Paper III).

---

<sup>4</sup> $L_{\text{ir}} \equiv L(8 - 1000\mu\text{m})$ . Throughout this paper we assume  $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $q_0 = 0.0$

<sup>5</sup>The FSC92 incorporates the final calibration scale (c.f. Pass-3 calibration) that removed inconsistencies in the previous point source fluxes computed prior to 1991 versus those computed using the more accurate *IRAS* data products such as ADDSCAN/SCANPI (Helou et al. 1988). Pass-3 calibration had the largest impact on the *IRAS*  $60\mu\text{m}$  and  $100\mu\text{m}$  bands where typical flux changes, compared to values in the PSC88, were  $\sim 5\text{--}10\%$  with a maximum change of  $\sim 15\%$  for the sources in our sample.

## 2. The *IRAS* FSC Survey

### 2.1. Selection Criteria

Four selection criteria were used to extract candidate ULIG sources from the FSC92. Criteria 1–3 follow the general selection methods adopted earlier for the *IRAS* BGS. An important fourth criteria was added following the detailed analysis of the properties of *IRAS* galaxies in the BGS by Soifer & Neugebauer (1991). The four criteria are:

(1) *All sources were required to have  $f_{60} > 1 \text{ Jy}$ <sup>6</sup>, with a “high” or “moderate” flux quality index (Moshir et al. 1992)* This limit is  $\sim 4\sigma$  above the typical threshold at  $60 \mu\text{m}$  for inclusion in the FSC.

(2) *As in the original BGS, all sources were required to be at high Galactic latitude ( $|b| > 30^\circ$ ), and to be easily accessible for redshift measurements (in this case,  $\delta > -40^\circ$  to allow for observing from Mauna Kea).* The Galactic latitude restriction was imposed to avoid serious contamination by infrared emission from the Galactic plane. The total sky coverage represented by the current survey, excluding small regions of the sky not surveyed by *IRAS* or with less than two *IRAS* visits (see *IRAS Catalogs and Atlases Explanatory Supplement*) is  $\sim 16,300 \text{ sq. deg.}$  Compared to the original BGS, the current survey area is approximately 10% larger due primarily to the lower declination limit used at Mauna Kea.

(3) *As in the original BGS, all sources were required to have  $f_{60} > f_{12}$  in order to exclude infrared-bright stars which are known to peak around  $12 \mu\text{m}$  (e.g. Cohen et al. 1987).* This criteria has been shown to be a very efficient means of selecting for galaxies while weeding out most Galactic objects. Our experience with the BGS showed that the remaining sources of confusion are from relatively nearby planetary nebulae, heavily reddened stars, and reflection nebulae. All galaxies in the BGS have  $f_{60} > f_{12}$ , and this requirement is particularly robust in the case of ULIGs where  $f_{60}/f_{12}$  is typically  $> 20$  (see Soifer & Neugebauer 1991).

(4) *All sources were also required to have “warm”  $f_{60}/f_{100}$  colors:  $\log(f_{60}/f_{100}) > -0.3$ .* Soifer & Neugebauer (1991) showed that for galaxies in the BGS, the mean  $f_{60}/f_{100}$  flux ratio increases systematically with increasing infrared luminosity, and at the highest observed luminosities (i.e. for the 10 ULIGs in the BGS) the mean ratio is  $-0.11$  with a range of  $-0.2$  to  $+0.13$ . Subsequent analysis of an additional 25 ULIGs from both the 2-Jy Survey of Strauss et al. (1992) and the BGS-Part II (Sanders et al. 1995) revealed nearly the same mean and range of far-infrared colors. Therefore, it was decided that the criterion of  $\log(f_{60}/f_{100}) > -0.3$  would not exclude legitimate ULIGs, and that it could indeed be used to exclude a large fraction of the more numerous lower-luminosity galaxies, the vast majority of which have cooler  $f_{60}/f_{100}$  colors.

---

<sup>6</sup> The quantities  $f_{12}$ ,  $f_{25}$ ,  $f_{60}$ , and  $f_{100}$  represent the *IRAS* flux densities in Jy at  $12 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $25 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $60 \mu\text{m}$ , and  $100 \mu\text{m}$  respectively.

## 2.2. Selection of ULIG Candidates

Our search of the FSC92 using the four criteria listed above produced a list of 1630 candidates from a total of  $\sim 175,000$  catalog entries. Two steps were then used to further reduce this number; first by cross-correlating our list with catalogs of known Galactic and extragalactic sources, and second by using a size criteria to eliminate relatively nearby lower luminosity galaxies.

All 1630 *IRAS* sources were cross-correlated with previously published astronomical catalogs by using the catalogs available in the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) and the Strassbourg Data Base (SIMBAD III). This resulted in the exclusion of 576 sources that were either Galactic objects (mostly highly reddened stars, planetary nebulae, and reflection nebulae), or nearby lower luminosity galaxies. The NED search also picked up 28 previously identified ULIGs – 10 from the final version of the BGS (Soifer et al. 1989), and an additional 18 ULIGs from various published lists of *IRAS* galaxies. Another 20 ULIGs were then identified from a preprint version of the  $f_{60} > 1.936$  Jy survey eventually published in Strauss et al. (1992). Thus, the net result of the cross-correlations was a list of 48 previously identified ULIGs and a reduction in the number of remaining unidentified ULIG candidates from 1630 to 1006.

Palomar Optical Sky Survey (POSS) overlays were produced for the remaining 1006 unidentified candidates, and the optical images were examined using the POSS prints. The majority of these objects appeared to be spiral or irregular galaxies as judged by their appearance on the POSS E-prints. Most of these galaxies had optical diameters that were much larger than any of the nearest known ULIGs, and were therefore assumed to be relatively nearby, less-luminous, infrared galaxies.

The following simple procedure was developed in order to exclude nearby, lower-luminosity galaxies. An optical diameter twice that of Arp 220 (at  $z = 0.0185$ , the nearest ULIG by a factor of  $\sim 2$ ) as measured from the POSS E-plate, was used to set a minimum size above which any of the remaining 1006 sources was excluded from the final list of ULIG candidates. This procedure eliminated 837 sources<sup>7</sup>. However, 18 sources were put back in the unidentified category due to the fact that there were two extended objects within the *IRAS* position uncertainty ellipse, and one of these objects was smaller than our template diameter. This resulted in a final list of 187 unidentified candidate ULIGs.

Overlays were also produced for the 48 previously identified ULIGs in order to verify that the more accurate positions from the FSC92 were still spatially consistent with the source, all of which had previously been identified using the PSC88. All sources except for one were found to still lie well within the  $\pm 3\sigma$  FSC92 position uncertainty ellipse. That one source, IRAS F13442+2321 (Leech et al. 1989) was found to be centered on a low luminosity spiral galaxy rather than the previously identified higher redshift galaxy which was more than  $5\sigma$  away from the *IRAS* FSC92

---

<sup>7</sup> All of these sources were later proven to be lower luminosity galaxies by cross-checking with the published redshift surveys of Strauss et al. (1992) and Fisher et al. (1995).

position. This source was dropped from our list.

### 3. Identification of ULIGs

The following procedures were used to determine the final list of ULIGs: (1) Optical spectra were obtained of all 187 previously unidentified candidates. In cases where more than one obvious source was found within the *IRAS* position uncertainty ellipse spectra were obtained of each object. (2) luminosities were computed using measured redshifts from step 1 and the fluxes in all four *IRAS* bands. (3) optical spectra at higher spectral resolution were obtained of all ULIGs identified from step 2 and all 47 ULIGs identified from the literature (as part of a follow-up program to obtain line-ratio diagnostic information for all ULIGs). The final list of 1-Jy ULIGs is given in Table 1.

#### 3.1. Redshift Measurements

Redshifts were initially obtained for all 187 candidate ULIGs and the 47 previously identified ULIGs using the University of Hawaii 2.2-m telescope on Mauna Kea (Kim 1995). Optical spectra were obtained during five separate observing sessions between 1991, August and 1993, March using the Faint Object Spectrograph (FOS) at the f/10 Cassegrain focus of the UH 2.2-m telescope. Wavelength coverage was typically  $\lambda = 5,000 - 9,000 \text{ \AA}$  at a resolution of  $\sim 5 \text{ \AA}$ . Exposure times varied from 180 sec to 1800 sec depending on the optical brightness of the source. A Zeiss 135R camera and long slit ( $2.5'' \times 275''$ ) were used for all observations except during February 1993 when a Nikon 180R camera was used. All observations were obtained with either a Tek 1024 $\times$ 1024 or Tek 2048 $\times$ 2048 CCD. The seeing was typically  $\sim 0.8'' - 1.4''$ . Data reduction was performed using the TWODSPEC package in IRAF. All spectra were de-biased by fitting a high order polynomial to the bias column, and flat-fielded with a normalized dome-flat. Wavelength calibrations were performed using an Fe-Ar arc lamp, and flux calibration was done by observing spectroscopic standard stars. The redshifts were determined from Gaussian fits of H $\alpha$ , [N II] and [S II] emission lines supplemented on occasion with fits of Mg Ib and Na ID absorption lines. The typical uncertainty in the measured velocities is estimated to be  $\sim \pm 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  ( $1\sigma$ ).

Out of the 187 previously unidentified candidate fields, 175 were found to contain emission line galaxies. Of the remaining 13 objects, 4 were stars, and 9 turned out to be empty fields which most likely are infrared cirrus or small Galactic dark clouds.

Six of the 175 fields were found to contain two equally probable galaxies within the  $\pm 3\sigma$  position uncertainty ellipse. In each of the six cases both galaxies had nearly identical redshifts ( $\Delta z < 0.002$ ), and high resolution imaging (Paper III) shows that all six appear to be strong tidally interacting systems; therefore for these six fields it was decided to attribute the *IRAS* source to the pair and to continue treating the source as a single entry in our list of ULIGs.

### 3.2. Infrared Luminosities

Using our redshifts for each of the 175 newly identified extragalactic sources and published redshifts for the 47 previously identified ULIGs, an infrared luminosity,  $L_{\text{ir}}$ , was calculated using the expression

$$L_{\text{ir}} = 4\pi D_\ell^2 \times F_{\text{ir}} \quad (1)$$

where  $D_\ell$  is the luminosity distance for a  $q_0 = 0$  cosmology (Weinberg 1972)

$$D_\ell = \frac{c}{H_0} (z(1 + 0.5z)) \quad (2)$$

and the infrared flux,  $F_{\text{ir}}$ , in units of  $[10^{-14} \text{ W m}^{-2}]$  is defined by

$$F_{\text{ir}} = 1.8 \times \{13.48 \times f_{12} + 5.16 \times f_{25} + 2.58 \times f_{60} + 1.0 \times f_{100}\}^8. \quad (3)$$

The *IRAS* fluxes were taken directly from the FSC92. A substantial fraction of the sources only had upper limits listed for  $f_{12}$ , and a smaller fraction had upper limits listed for  $f_{25}$ .

In an attempt to provide the most accurate luminosities possible, we attempted to remove  $12 \mu\text{m}$  and  $25 \mu\text{m}$  upper limits by manually reprocessing the *IRAS* data using the ADDSCAN/SCANPI procedure (Helou et al. 1988) and visually inspecting the output. For  $\sim 50\%$  of the objects with  $25 \mu\text{m}$  upper limits, and for  $\sim 20\%$  of the objects with  $12 \mu\text{m}$  upper limits, we were able to find a signal at the  $2\text{--}3\sigma$  level; for these cases it was decided to use the new values rather than continue to use the upper limits in the FSC92. For those upper limits that could not be removed using ADDSCAN/SCANPI we decided to use a template SED (constructed from a subsample of nearby ULIGs) to extrapolate the  $25 \mu\text{m}$  and  $12 \mu\text{m}$  fluxes. The template used was  $f_{12} = 0.20 f_{25}$ , and  $f_{25} = 0.14 f_{60}$  for objects with  $L_{\text{ir}} \leq 10^{12.5} L_\odot$  and  $f_{25} = 0.23 f_{60}$  for objects with  $L_{\text{ir}} \geq 10^{12.5} L_\odot$  (see § 5.1). Given these procedures for removing upper limits at  $12 \mu\text{m}$  and  $25 \mu\text{m}$ , and the fact that even with the upper limits the sum of the  $12 \mu\text{m}$  and  $25 \mu\text{m}$  contribution to  $L_{\text{ir}}$  is typically  $< 10\%$ , we estimate the final uncertainty in  $L_{\text{ir}}$  due to the  $12 \mu\text{m}$  and  $25 \mu\text{m}$  flux densities to be a few percent, i.e. similar to the measurement uncertainty in the  $60 \mu\text{m}$  and  $100 \mu\text{m}$  flux densities.

Seventy-one of the 175 galaxies proved to have infrared luminosities large enough to be classified as ULIGs. The remaining 98 objects were lower luminosity emission line galaxies.

---

<sup>8</sup>The factor of 1.8 in equation (3) was found by Perault (1987) to provide a good approximation ( $\pm 5\%$ ) to the ratio of the  $8\text{--}1000 \mu\text{m}$  flux ( $\equiv F_{\text{ir}}$ ) and the sum of the flux in all four *IRAS* bands (quantity in brackets), for thermal dust emission in the temperature range  $\sim 25\text{--}300 \text{ K}$  and for dust emissivity laws  $\epsilon \propto \lambda^{-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha$  in the range  $-0.5$  to  $-2.0$ . Since many of the high-luminosity galaxies emit a significant portion of their infrared luminosity shortward of  $40 \mu\text{m}$ , this expression provides a significantly better determination of the total infrared flux than the more commonly used  $F_{\text{fir}}$  determined by fitting a single temperature dust model to the  $60 \mu\text{m}$  and  $100 \mu\text{m}$  fluxes (cf. Appendix B of Cataloged Galaxies and Quasars Detected in the *IRAS* Survey 1985).

### 3.3. Final Adjustments

As part of a parallel program to obtain broader wavelength coverage plus higher spectral resolution data for all of the ULIGs in the 1-Jy sample (Veilleux, Kim, & Sanders 1998), we were eventually able to obtain our own redshifts for all of the 47 previously identified ULIGs while also being able to confirm our redshifts for the 71 previously unidentified ULIGs. For all 71 newly identified ULIGs, and for most of the objects taken from the literature, our new redshifts were in excellent agreement ( $\Delta z < .001$ ) with previous data. Notable differences ( $\Delta z > .002$ ) were found only for six objects, all of which were from the subsample of 47 objects taken from the literature. However, none of the 47 previously identified ULIGs fell out of the ULIG sample when their luminosities were recomputed using our measured redshifts and the new FSC92 fluxes. A comparison of our final redshift measurements with redshift measurements determined by others is given in Table 2. The final 1-Jy sample contained  $71 + 47 = 118$  ULIGs.

### 3.4. The Complete *IRAS* FSC 1-Jy Sample

Table 1 lists source parameters for all 118 ULIGs in the final list of objects in the 1-Jy survey. Column entries are described in the footnotes. All source parameters in columns 1–12 are from the FSC92, except for the estimated  $12\,\mu\text{m}$  and  $25\,\mu\text{m}$  fluxes (FQ = a in column 12). In addition to the measured redshift (column 13) and computed  $L_{\text{ir}}$  (column 15) we have also provided the quantity  $L_{\text{fir}}$  (column 14) for comparison with previously published *IRAS* galaxy samples which used this quantity as the primary measure of “far-infrared” luminosity. A more complete description of the various methods used in the past to represent the *IRAS* luminosities is given in Sanders & Mirabel (1996).

#### 3.4.1. Finder Charts

To aid in the correct identification of the actual *IRAS* source for each of the objects in Table 1, we have provided small finder charts in Figure 2 showing the *IRAS* position uncertainty ellipse properly registered on the red filter of the Palomar Digital Sky Survey with a marker to identify the ULIG. The distribution of offsets between the actual source and the *IRAS* position listed in the FSC92 (columns 2–5 of Table 1) is typical of the  $\sim 10\text{--}15''$  ( $1\sigma$ ) uncertainty quoted for the FSC. All of the sources in the 1-Jy survey are located within the  $\pm 3\sigma$  uncertainty ellipse.

## 4. The Luminosity Function

The redshift and luminosity distributions for the 118 ULIGs listed in Table 1 are plotted in Figure 3. The mean and median luminosity values for the sample are  $\langle L_{\text{ir}} \rangle = 10^{12.28 \pm 0.25} L_{\odot}$

and  $\overline{L_{\text{ir}}} = 10^{12.19} L_{\odot}$  respectively. The mean and median redshift values for the sample are  $\langle z \rangle = 0.144 \pm 0.043$  and  $\bar{z} = 0.145$  respectively. The maximum observed luminosity is  $(L_{\text{ir}})_{\text{max}} = 10^{12.90} L_{\odot}$ , and the maximum observed redshift is  $z_{\text{max}} = 0.268$ .

The infrared luminosity function of ULIGs was calculated using the following expressions (Schmidt 1968)

$$\Phi = \left( \frac{4\pi}{\Omega} \right) \left( \Sigma \frac{1}{V_{\text{m}}} \right) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sigma_{\phi} = \left( \frac{4\pi}{\Omega} \right) \left( \Sigma \frac{1}{V_{\text{m}}^2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the solid angle of the survey region, and  $V_{\text{m}}$  is the maximum volume within which the object would still be included in the survey.  $V$  and  $V_{\text{max}}$  were calculated by determining the luminosity distance according to the following procedure. The solar motion with respect to the Local Group —  $300 \sin \ell \cos b$  [km s<sup>-1</sup>] (de Vaucouleurs, de Vaucouleurs, & Corwin 1976), where  $\ell$  and  $b$  represent Galactic longitude and latitude respectively — was subtracted and the resulting velocity was then converted to a luminosity distance using equation (2). A correction for the Virgo flow was not included since most of our objects are at distances far beyond the influence of the Virgo cluster. The monochromatic flux density can then be written as (Weinberg 1972)

$$f_{\nu} = \frac{L_{\nu(1+z)}}{4\pi D_{\ell}^2 (1+z)} \quad (6)$$

where  $L_{\nu(1+z)}$  is the intrinsic luminosity at frequency  $\nu(1+z)$  and  $D_{\ell}$  is the luminosity distance. From equation (6), we can then calculate a maximum luminosity distance  $D_{\ell}(\text{max})$ , which is related to the limiting flux density  $F_{\text{min}}$  by

$$D_{\ell}(\text{max}) = D_{\ell} \left( \frac{f}{f_{\text{min}}} \frac{1+z}{1+z_{\text{max}}} \Psi \right)^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

where the  $K$ -correction term  $\Psi$  was calculated by a polynomial fit to the spectral energy distribution between  $25 \mu\text{m}$  and  $100 \mu\text{m}$ . The typical value of  $\Psi$  in our sample was found to be 0.92–0.94. The luminosity function,  $\Phi$  [Mpc<sup>-3</sup> M/2<sup>-1</sup>], for the 1-Jy sample is tabulated in Table 3.

## 5. Discussion

The luminosity function for ULIGs provides a basis for discussing the relative importance of these sources in relation to other populations of extragalactic objects. However, before discussing the luminosity function of ULIGs it is important to understand just how dominant is the far-infrared emission from these objects, and why they have until now mostly escaped identification in optical surveys.



### 5.1. Spectral Energy Distributions of ULIGs

The *IRAS* fluxes from Table 1 have been combined with optical and near-infrared fluxes that have recently been obtained for a substantial fraction of the 1-Jy sample (Kim 1995, Kim et al. 1998), to compute the mean SEDs of ULIGs as shown in Figure 4. The mean SED for the entire sample, (as well as the SED for each ULIG in the sample), peaks in the far-infrared near  $60\,\mu\text{m}$ . For all of the ULIGs in our sample, the total infrared luminosity,  $L_{\text{ir}}$ , appears to be by far the dominant fraction of the bolometric luminosity. Eventhough the relative optical/infrared luminosity ratio increases for objects with  $L_{\text{ir}} > 10^{12.4} L_{\odot}$  (see Figure 4),  $L_{\text{ir}}/L_{\text{opt+ir}}$  is still  $> 0.9$  for all but three of the ULIGs in the 1-Jy sample. Given that the amount of energy outside the infrared band is typically  $< 10\%$  of  $L_{\text{ir}}$  in all but a very few of the 1-Jy ULIGs, we have used  $L_{\text{ir}}$  as a good approximation to the bolometric luminosity for the purpose of comparison with  $L_{\text{bol}}$  calculated for other extragalactic objects.

Compared to modest infrared galaxies like our own ( $L_{\text{ir}} \sim 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ ,  $L_{\text{ir}} \sim L_{\text{opt}}$ ), ULIGs have larger infrared luminosities by at least a factor of 100 while their optical luminosities have only increased by factors of  $\sim 2$ –5. The mean optical and near-infrared luminosities of ULIGs are in fact  $\sim 2.5 L^*$  in the K-band<sup>9</sup>,  $\sim 2.7 L^*$  in the r-band, and  $\sim 2 L^*$  in the B-band (see Sanders & Mirabel 1996). Given the fact that the nearest ULIGs (i.e. those in the RBGS) have mean redshifts  $\sim 0.04$ , none of these objects are included in optical catalogs of bright galaxies (e.g. NGC), and only four objects in the 1-Jy sample are found in the fainter UGC and Zwicky catalogs. Thus the *IRAS* ULIGs represent an independent sample when compared against the well-known optical catalogs of galaxies.

Further discussion of the SEDs of ULIGs, including a comparison of SED properties with object morphology and spectral type, will be given in Papers II and III. Here it is simply worth noting that the only obvious correlation of the shape of the infrared SED with galaxy properties is an apparent increase in the  $f_{25}/f_{60}$  ratio with increasing infrared luminosity. A significant fraction ( $\sim 1/4$ ) of the ULIGs with  $L_{\text{ir}} > 10^{12.4} L_{\odot}$  have  $f_{25}/f_{60} > 0.2$ , similar to values that are typically found in infrared selected AGN (e.g. Miley et al. 1984; de Grijp et al. 1985; Golombek, Miley & Neugebauer 1988). Paper II discusses the possibility that the increase in the  $f_{25}/f_{60}$  ratio is in fact due to an increasing proportion of AGN (including a substantial fraction of Seyfert 1s) at the highest luminosities in our ULIG sample, a conclusion that is supported by new near-infrared spectra of a subsample of 25 ULIGs chosen from the 1-Jy survey (Veilleux, Sanders, & Kim 1997).

---

<sup>9</sup> For an  $L^*$  galaxy  $M_{\text{B}}^* = -19.7$  mag (Schechter 1976),  $M_{\text{r}}^* = -20.5$  mag assuming a typical  $B - r = 0.75$ , and  $M_{\text{K}'}^* = -24.2$  mag (Mobasher, Sharples, & Ellis 1993).

## 5.2. Comparison of the Luminosity Function of ULIGs with that of Other Extragalactic Objects

Soifer et al. (1987) presented the first meaningful comparison of the luminosity function of infrared galaxies with other classes of optically selected extragalactic objects by calculating a bolometric luminosity function for both infrared and optical samples. Figure 5, which is an updated adaptation of a figure from Soifer et al. (1987), illustrates the differences and similarities between the more well-known optical classes of galaxies and the infrared galaxies revealed by *IRAS*, and shows how infrared galaxies become an increasingly dominant population with increasing bolometric luminosity. Except for the new 1-Jy sample, the comparisons illustrated in Figure 5 only reveal what is found for the “local Universe” (i.e.  $z \lesssim 0.1$ ) in that the redshift surveys of the optical samples as well as the RBGS typically do not extend much above a mean redshift of  $\sim 0.05$ . The one exception is for QSOs, but this has been dealt with in Figure 5 by showing the local luminosity function for QSOs,  $\Phi(M_B, z = 0)$ , as derived by Schmidt & Green (1983).

The luminosity function for normal galaxies (i.e. the Schechter function) has its inflection point near  $L_{\text{ir}} \sim 10^{10.3} L_{\odot}$  (i.e.  $L^*$ ), and falls exponentially at  $L_{\text{ir}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ . The luminosity function for optically selected starbursts is remarkably close to the power-law defined by the infrared galaxies at  $L_{\text{ir}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ , but starts to fall precipitously at higher luminosities, with few identified objects at  $L_{\text{ir}} > 10^{11.5} L_{\odot}$ . On the other hand, optically selected Seyfert galaxies (primarily type-2 Seyferts) are less numerous than both infrared galaxies and optically selected starbursts at  $L_{\text{ir}} < 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ , but are approximately equal to the space density of infrared galaxies over the decade luminosity range  $L_{\text{ir}} = 10^{11-12} L_{\odot}$ . At  $L_{\text{bol}} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ , only QSOs (i.e. type-1 Seyferts with  $M_B < -23$ , for  $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ) remain in the optical samples, and figure 5 shows that the space density of PG QSOs in the local Universe is  $\sim 1.5$  times lower<sup>10</sup> than that of ULIGs in the RBGS. However, the relative space densities of optically selected QSOs may be equal to or even slightly exceed that of ULIGs if one accepts the most recent results of Wisotzki et al. (1998; see also Krishna & Biermann 1998), who claim to find larger numbers of QSOs in the local Universe (compared to the number density of PG QSOs) from their new flux-limited sample of bright low-redshift QSO and Seyfert 1 galaxies drawn from the Hamburg-ESO survey.

## 5.3. Evolution

Figure 5 also shows the space density of ULIGs from the 1-Jy sample. The space density of ULIGs in the 1-Jy sample appears to be  $\sim 2$  times larger than that computed for ULIGs in the

---

<sup>10</sup>The original comparison of ULIGs and QSOs (Soifer et al. 1987) found that the space density of QSOs in the local Universe was  $\sim 3$  times smaller than that of ULIGs in the BGS. This difference was reduced to a factor of  $\sim 2$  by Sanders et al. (1989) after better accounting of the infrared emission from QSOs, and finally to the factor of 1.5 reported here due to using a better approximation to the far-UV and soft X-Ray component of QSOs as given in Elvis et al. (1994).

*IRAS* RBGS. The 1-Jy ULIG survey samples a larger volume of space ( $z_{\text{max}} \sim 0.3$  with a mean ULIG redshift of  $z \sim 0.15$ ) than the RBGS ( $z_{\text{max}} \sim 0.08$  with a mean redshift of  $z \sim 0.04$  for ULIGs in the RBGS). We show below that this increase in the space density of ULIGs is consistent with strong evolution in the ULIG population.

To quantify the evidence for evolution in the luminosity function of ULIGs we used the maximum likelihood test of Saunders et al. (1990) including refinements by Fisher et al. (1992). We also assumed pure density evolution partly because our sample is not cosmologically distant enough to differentiate between different evolutionary models (e.g. pure density or pure luminosity evolution), but also because the most recent results from AGN surveys appear to favor pure density evolution over pure luminosity evolution models (e.g. Hassinger 1998; Köhler & Wisotzki 1997). The probability  $p_i$  that a galaxy  $i$  of given luminosity is located within a comoving volume  $dV$  centered on its observed position  $r_i$  with an isotropic comoving density distribution  $N(r)$  is

$$p_i = N(r_i)dV_i / \int_{r_{\text{min}}}^{r_{\text{max}}} N(r)dV. \quad (8)$$

If we assume that the comoving density distribution  $N(r)$  has the form  $N(r) = N(0)(1+z)^\alpha$ , where  $N(0)$  is the local space density of ULIGs, then the overall probability of measuring the ensemble of a total of  $n$  galaxies each with position  $r_i$  is

$$P = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ (1+z_i)^\alpha dV_i / \int_{r_{\text{min}}}^{r_{\text{max}}} (1+z)^\alpha dV \right] \quad (9)$$

For an assumed cosmological model with given object redshifts and luminosities, a maximum likelihood estimate for  $\alpha$  can be found by maximizing the probability  $P$  with respect to  $\alpha$ . In this calculation, the maximum distance  $r_{\text{max}}$  was calculated using equation (7) where a polynomial fit to the spectral energy distribution is used to determine the  $K$ -correction  $\Psi$ . For  $q_0 = 0.0$  and  $H_0 = 75 \text{ (km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}\text{)}$ , the formal maximum likelihood estimate for our sample was  $\alpha = 7.6 \pm 3.2$ . This suggests strong evolution in the ULIG population. However, it should be noted that the redshift range over which this estimate applies is relatively small ( $z \lesssim 0.27$ ), and the corresponding uncertainty in the exponent is relatively large.

Our value of  $\alpha = 7.6 \pm 3.2$  assuming a pure density evolution model can be compared with previous estimates of evolution that have been determined from other redshift surveys of *IRAS* galaxies. (However, we note that no previous analyses have focused only on ULIGs, but instead have considered all infrared galaxies regardless of luminosity.) The most relevant measure of evolution for our purposes comes from a recent analysis of the ‘FSS- $z$ ’ sample (Oliver et al. 1996) of sources with  $f_{60} > 0.2 \text{ Jy}$  from the *IRAS* Faint Source Data Base. Oliver et al. (1995) used  $\sim 1200$  sources over the redshift range  $0.02 < z < 0.3$  for which redshifts were available, and found  $\alpha = 5.6 \pm 1.6$ . The redshift range is nearly identical to that of our 1-Jy sample of ULIGs, but the FSS- $z$  sample, of course, includes all sources regardless of luminosity. Oliver et al. (1995) also provide a rather thorough accounting of several other determinations of  $\alpha$  in the literature, all of

which have been made using brighter  $60\ \mu\text{m}$  samples, and all of which, when properly corrected for Malmquist bias, find lower values still for  $\alpha$ , including a suggestion of no evolution, e.g.  $\alpha = 2 \pm 3$ , for the  $f_{60} > 1.2\ \text{Jy}$  sample (Fisher et al. 1992) where no lower redshift cutoff was imposed. This latter case is clearly affected by the known local overdensity of infrared sources (e.g. Hacking, Condon, & Houck 1987), which when included in the analysis would mimic negative evolution (i.e. the value of  $\alpha$  increases to  $4.2 \pm 2.3$  for this same sample just by imposing a lower redshift cutoff,  $z > 0.02$ ). Although these various measures of evolution at first appear inconsistent, they are in fact consistent with the idea that strong evolution is only found for the most luminous *IRAS* galaxies, and then only for redshifts beyond the influence of ‘local’ large-scale structure (e.g.  $z \gtrsim 0.05$ ). Similar conclusions can also be drawn from previous analyses of *IRAS* extragalactic source counts (Hacking et al. 1987, Lonsdale & Hacking 1989, Lonsdale et al. 1990, Gregorich et al. 1995) that show evidence for strong evolution only at relatively low flux levels ( $f_{60} < 1\ \text{Jy}$ ).

It is intriguing to note that strong evolution has also been found for optically selected QSOs. Schmidt & Green (1983) find clear evidence that the strength of evolution depends on luminosity, with little or no evidence for evolution in objects less luminous than QSOs (i.e.  $M_B > -23$  corresponding to  $L_{\text{bol}} < 10^{12}\ L_\odot$ ) with evolution rapidly becoming stronger for more luminous systems. Although Schmidt & Green (1983) discussed their sample in terms of pure luminosity evolution, an examination of their data assuming pure density evolution shows that the luminosity function evolves as  $(1+z)^{\sim 5-8}$  for QSOs with redshifts and luminosities similar to what is sampled by the 1-Jy survey of ULIGs (i.e. for QSOs with  $M_B = -23$  to  $-25$  and redshifts in the range  $z = 0 - 0.3$ ). Unfortunately, there are insufficient data on higher luminosity ULIGs (i.e.  $L_{\text{ir}} > 10^{12.9}\ L_\odot$ , corresponding to the bolometric luminosity of QSOs with  $M_B < -25$ ) to be able to determine whether the luminosity function for ULIGs continues to evolve more strongly with increasing luminosity as does the luminosity function for QSOs. Deeper infrared surveys, such as are now being carried out with the *Infrared Space Observatory (ISO)* and in the future with the *Wide-field Infrared Explorer (WIRE)* and the *Space Infrared Telescope Facility (SIRTF)*, when combined, should be able to measure the luminosity function of ULIGs out to the highest redshifts observed for QSOs, and thus will provide a clearer answer as to how well ULIGs mimic the luminosity function of QSOs.

#### 5.4. Clustering

We have also investigated the possibility of clustering of ULIGs using the two-point correlation function  $\xi(r)$  (Peebles 1980). At a comoving separation  $r$ , the two-point correlation function is given by

$$\xi(r) = N_{\text{gg}}/N_{\text{gr}} - 1, \quad (10)$$

where  $N_{\text{gg}}$  and  $N_{\text{gr}}$  are galaxy-galaxy and galaxy-random pair respectively at a given comoving separation of  $r - \Delta r/2 < r < r + \Delta r/2$ . The errors were estimated from Poisson statistics

$$\Delta\xi = \sqrt{(\xi + 1)/N_{\text{gr}}}, \quad (11)$$

In this calculation, the total number of a random sample which produces a minimum statistical noise was found to be  $\sim 100 \times$  the total number of our sample. The results of the two-point correlation function analysis are plotted in Figure 6. As seen in Figure 6, most of the data points are consistent with no clustering except for one marginally consistent data point at  $r = 22 \ h^{-1}$  Mpc ( $h \equiv H_0/100$ ) where the correlation is  $1.6 \pm 1.2$ .

Recently, Mo & Fang (1993) have detected strong clustering for quasars at  $10 \leq r \leq 50 \ h^{-1}$  Mpc. They have used about 750 quasars with mean redshift  $\langle z \rangle = 1.5$ . To compare their result with ours, we have evolved Mo & Fang’s result back from their mean redshift to our mean redshift  $\langle z \rangle = 0.145$  using the following three evolution models for the correlation function as proposed by Iovino & Shaver (1988):

i) Comoving model – The correlation amplitude  $A$ , defined by the expression  $\xi(r) = Ar^{-1.8}$ , has no dependence on redshift and the correlation function remains constant at all the time. In this case the clusters expand as the same rate as the Hubble expansion such as might be expected in *biased* theories of clustering (e.g. Davis et al. 1985).

ii) Stable model – The correlation amplitude  $A$  has a  $(1+z)^3$  dependence due to gravitational growth, so the correlation function has the form  $\xi(r) \propto (1+z)^{-1.2}$ . In this model the clusters keep the same proper distance with respect to the Hubble expansion.

iii) Collapsing model – clusters collapse as fast as the Hubble expansion and the correlation amplitude  $A$  has a redshift dependence of  $(1+z)^{-1.2}$ .

For these calculations we have used  $A = 74$  from the results of Mo & Fang (1993). The resulting correlation functions for each evolution model are plotted in Figure 6. The collapsing model gives the poorest fit, and the comoving model (dashed lines) fits better than the stable model. This is consistent with Boyle & Mo (1993) who find a similar clustering scale length for the low redshift quasars ( $z < 0.2$ ). If the correlation function evolves according to the comoving model, then the above result gives some marginal evidence that both ULIGs and quasars may be derived from the same parent population.

## 6. Summary

The following summarizes the main results from our *IRAS* 1-Jy survey of ULIGs selected from the *IRAS* FSC:

1) A complete flux-limited sample of 118 ULIGs with  $f_{60} \geq 1$  Jy have been identified in a region of the sky at  $|b| > 30^\circ$ ,  $\delta > -40^\circ$  ( $\sim 16,300$  sq deg). The maximum observed infrared

luminosity is  $(L_{\text{ir}})_{\text{max}} = 10^{12.90} L_{\odot}$ , and the maximum observed redshift is  $z_{\text{max}} = 0.268$ .

2) The luminosity function,  $\Phi [\text{Mpc}^{-3} \text{ mag}^{-1}]$ , for ULIGs can be approximated by a power law with spectral index  $\alpha = -2.35 \pm 0.30$  over the decade luminosity range  $L_{\text{ir}} = 10^{12} - 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ . In the “local Universe” ( $z \lesssim 0.1$ ) the space density of ULIGs appears to be a factor of  $\sim 1.5$ –2 times larger than the space density of optically selected QSOs at comparable bolometric luminosities. However, if one accepts recent reported evidence for an increase in the local space density of QSOs, the space density of QSOs may be equal to, or even slightly exceed that of ULIGs in the local Universe.

3) A maximum likelihood test suggests strong evolution in our sample. Assuming pure density evolution,  $\Phi(z) \propto (1+z)^{\alpha}$ , we find  $\alpha = 7.6 \pm 3.2$ .

4) Examination of the two-point correlation function for our sample shows only marginal evidence for large-scale clustering, and only on size scales  $r \sim 22 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ .

It is a pleasure to thank Karl Fisher for allowing us to use his evolution code, Yiping Jing, HouJun Mo, and Yu Gao for their clustering code and discussion, Michael Strauss for providing a copy of the preliminary 1.2 Jy redshift list prior to publication, and Yong-Ik Byun for helpful discussions. We also thank our telescope operators on the UH 2.2 m telescope, F. Cheigh and D. Woodworth, for their assistance in obtaining the optical redshifts. DCK and DBS were supported in part by NASA grants NAG 5-1741 and NAGW-3938 and NSF grant AST-8919563. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## REFERENCES

- Allen, D. A., Norris, R. P., Meadows, V. S., & Roche, P. F. 1991, *MNRAS*, 248, 528
- Arakelyan, M. A., Dibai, E. A., Esipov, V. F., & Markarian, B. E. 1971, *Astrofizika*, 7, 177
- Armus, L., Heckman, T. M., & Miley, G. K. 1989, *ApJ*, 347, 727
- Baan, W. A. 1989, *ApJ*, 338, 804
- Bottinelli, L., Dennefeld, M., Gouguenheim, L., Martin, J. M., Paturel, G., & LeSqueren, A. M. 1987, in *Star Formation in Galaxies*, ed. C.J. Lonsdale-Persson (Washington, D.C.: U.S. GPO), 597
- Boyle, B. J., & Mo, H. J. 1993, *MNRAS*, 260, 925
- Catalogued Galaxies and Quasars Observed in the IRAS Survey 1989, produced by L. Fullmer & C. Lonsdale, JPL D1932 Version 2, (Pasadena: JPL)
- Cohen, M., Schwartz, D. E., Chokshi, A., & Walker, R. G. 1987, *AJ*, 93, 1199
- Davis, M., Efstathiou, G., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. 1985, *ApJ*, 221, 371
- de Grijp, M. H. K., Miley, G. K., Lub, J., & de Jong, T. 1985, *Nature*, 314, 240
- de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., & Corwin, H. G., Jr. 1976, *Second Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies* (Austin: University of Texas Press)
- Elvis, M., et al. 1994, *ApJS*, 95, 1
- Fisher, K. B., Huchra, J. P., Strauss, M. A., Davis, M., Yahil, A., & Schlegel, D. 1995, *ApJS*, 100, 69
- Fisher, K. B., Strauss, M. A., Davis, M., Yahil, A., & Huchra, J. P. 1992, *ApJ*, 389, 188
- Golombek, G., Miley, G. K., & Neugebauer, G. 1988, *AJ*, 95, 26
- Grandi, S. A. 1977, *ApJ*, 215, 446
- Gregorich, D.T., Neugebauer, G., Soifer, B.T., Gunn, J.E., Herter, T.L. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 259
- Hacking, P. B., Condon, J. J., & Houck, J. R. 1987, *ApJ*, 316, L15
- Hassinger, G. 1998, *Astron. Nachr.*, 319, 37
- Helou, G., Kahn, I. R., Malek, L., & Boehmer, L. 1988, *ApJS*, 68, 151
- Hill, G. H., Wynn-Williams, C. G., & Becklin, E. E. 1987, *ApJ*, 316, L11
- Hill, G. H., Heasley, J. N., Becklin, E. E., & Wynn-Williams, C. G. 1988, *AJ*, 95, 1031
- Iovino, A., & Shaver, P. A. 1988, *ApJ*, 330, L13
- IRAS Catalogs and Atlases Explanatory Supplement 1988, eds. C. Beichman, G. Neugebauer, H. Habing, P. Clegg, T. Chester (Washington, DC: U.S. GPO)
- IRAS Point Source Catalog, 1988, (Washington, DC: U.S. GPO) (PSC88)
- Kim, D.-C. 1995, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Hawaii

- Kim, D.-C., Sanders, D. B., & Mazzarella, J. M. 1998, *ApJ*, in preparation (Paper III)
- Kim, D.-C., Veilleux, S., & Sanders, D. B. 1997, *ApJ*, submitted (Paper II)
- Köhler, T., & Wisotzki, L. 1997, in *Quasar Hosts*, eds. D.L. Clements, I. Pérez-Fournon, (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), 254
- Krishna, G., & Biermann, P. L. 1998, *A&A*, 330, L37
- Lawrence, A., Rowan-Robinson, M., Leech, K., Jones, D. H. P., & Wall, J. V. 1989, *MNRAS*, 240, 329
- Leech, K. J., Penston, M. V., Terlvich, R., Lawrence, A., Rowan-Robinson, M., & Crawford, J. 1989, *MNRAS*, 240, 349
- Lonsdale, C. J., & Hacking, P. 1989, *ApJ*, 339, 712
- Lonsdale, C. J., Hacking, P., Conrow, T. P., Rowan-Robinson, M. 1990, *ApJ*, 358, 60
- Low, F. J., Huchra, J. P., Kleinmann, S. G., & Cutri, R. M. 1988, *ApJ*, 327, L41
- MacKenty, J. W., & Stockton, A. 1984, *ApJ*, 283, 64
- Melnick, J., & Mirabel, I. F. 1990, *A&A*, 231, L19
- Miley, G., Neugebauer, G., Clegg, P. E., Harris, S., Rowan-Robinson, M., Soifer, B. T., & Young, E. 1984, *ApJ*, 278, L79
- Mirabel, I. F. 1982, *ApJ*, 260, 75
- Mo, H. J., & Fang, L. Z. 1993, *ApJ*, 410, 493
- Mobasher, B., Sharples, R. M., & Ellis, R. S. 1993, *MNRAS*, 263, 560
- Moshir, M., et al. 1992, *Explanatory Supplement to the IRAS Faint Source Survey, Version 2*, JPL D-10015 8/92 (Pasadena: JPL) (FSC92)
- Oliver, S., et al. 1995, in *Wide-Field Spectroscopy and the Distant Universe*, eds. S.J. Maddox & A. Aragon-Salamanca, (Singapore: World Scientific), 264
- Oliver, S., et al. 1996, *MNRAS*, 280, 673
- Peebles, P. J. E. 1980, *The Large Scale Structure of the Universe* (Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press)
- Perault, M. 1987, PhD Thesis, University of Paris
- Sanders, D. B., et al. 1987, in *Star Formation in Galaxies*, ed. C.J. Persson, (Washington, DC: U.S. GPO), 411
- Sanders, D. B., Egami, E., Lipari, S., Mirabel, I. F., & Soifer, B. T. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 1993
- Sanders, D. B., Mazzarella, J. M., Surace, J. A., Egami, E., Soifer, B. T., Kim, D.-C., Lipari, S., & Mirabel, I. F. 1998, *ApJS*, in preparation
- Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 749
- Sanders, D. B., Phinney, E. S., Neugebauer, G., Soifer, B. T., & Matthews, K. 1989, *ApJ*, 347, 29



- Sanders, D. B., Soifer, B. T., Elias, J. H., Madore, B. F., Matthews, K., Neugebauer, G., & Scoville, N. Z. 1988a, *ApJ*, 325, 74
- Sanders, D. B., Soifer, B. T., Elias, J. H., Neugebauer, G., & Matthews, K. 1988b, *ApJ*, 328, L35
- Saunders, W., Rowan-Robinson, M., Lawrence, A., Efstathiou, G., Kaiser, N., Ellis, R. S., & Frenk, C. S. 1990, *MNRAS*, 242, 318
- Schmidt, M. 1963, *Nature*, 197, 1040
- Schmidt, M. 1968, *ApJ*, 151, 393
- Schmidt, M., & Green, R. F. 1983, *ApJ*, 269, 352
- Soifer, B. T., Boehmer, L., Neugebauer, G., & Sanders, D. B. 1989, *AJ*, 98, 766
- Soifer, B. T., & Neugebauer, G. 1991, *AJ*, 101, 354
- Soifer, B. T. Sanders, D. B., Madore, B. F., Neugebauer, G., Danielson, G. E., Elias, J. H., Lonsdale, C. J., & Rice, W. L. 1987, *ApJ*, 320, 238
- Soifer, B. T. Sanders, D. B., Neugebauer, G., Danielson, G. E., Lonsdale, C. J., Madore, B. F., & Persson, S. E. 1986, *ApJ*, 303, L41
- Strauss, M. A., & Huchra, J. P. 1988, *AJ*, 95, 1602
- Strauss, M. A., Huchra, J. P., Davis, M., Yahil, A., Fisher, K. B., & Tonry, J. 1992, *ApJS*, 83, 29
- Veilleux, S. V., Kim, D.-C., & Sanders, D. B. 1998, in preparation
- Veilleux, S. V., Sanders, D. B., & Kim, D.-C. 1997, *ApJ*, 484, 92
- Veilleux, S. V., Sanders, D. B., & Kim, D.-C. 1998, in preparation
- Weinberg, S. 1972, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (NewYork: John Wiley and Sons)
- Wisotzki, L., Köhler, T., Groote, D., Reimers, D. 1996, *A&A*, 115, 227

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1.— Aitoff projection in Galactic coordinates of all sources in the 1-Jy survey. The solid line represents the boundary of the survey area (see text).

Fig. 2.— Finder charts for ULIGs in the 1-Jy Survey. The *IRAS* position uncertainty ellipse ( $\pm 3\sigma$ ) is shown in overlay on the digital POSS red image ( $2' \times 2'$  field of view). A marker is centered on the identified ULIG. In those six cases with two marked sources, deeper optical and near infrared CCD images (Paper III) show that both galaxies appear to be strongly interacting (see text).

Fig. 3.— Redshift and luminosity distributions of ULIGs in the 1-Jy survey.

Fig. 4.— Mean spectral energy distributions of ULIGs (normalized at  $\lambda = 60\mu\text{m}$ ). Arrows represent upper limits ( $2\sigma$ ).

Fig. 5.— Comparison of luminosity functions for different classes of extragalactic objects. References: *IRAS* 1-Jy Survey (this paper), *IRAS* RBGS (Sanders et al. 1998), Palomar-Green QSOs (Schmidt & Green 1983), Markarian starbursts and Seyferts (Huchra 1977), and normal galaxies and cDs (Schechter 1976). The thick solid curve is a fit to the *IRAS* RBGS data points. The thick dashed line connects the *IRAS* 1-Jy data points.

Fig. 6.— Two-point correlation functions of ULIGs. The comoving, stable and collapse models evolved back to  $z = 0.145$  are plotted as dashed, long-dashed and dot-long-dashed lines respectively (see text).

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>

TABLE 1  
THE IRAS 1 JY SAMPLE OF ULIGs

| Name        | R.A.       | Dec.      | R.A.       | Dec.      | $\ell$       | $b$          | $12\mu\text{m}$ | $f_\nu(\lambda)$ |                 |                  | FQ   | $z$   | $\log L_{\text{fir}}$ | $\log L_{\text{ir}}$ |
|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| IRAS FSC    | (B1950.0)  |           | (J2000.0)  |           | ( $^\circ$ ) | ( $^\circ$ ) | (Jy)            | $25\mu\text{m}$  | $60\mu\text{m}$ | $100\mu\text{m}$ |      |       | ( $L_\odot$ )         | ( $L_\odot$ )        |
| (1)         | (2)        | (3)       | (4)        | (5)       | (6)          | (7)          | (8)             | (9)              | (10)            | (11)             | (12) | (13)  | (14)                  | (15)                 |
| 00091−0738  | 00:09:10.0 | −07:38:47 | 00:11:43.4 | −07:22:06 | 95.63        | −68.11       | 0.07            | 0.22             | 2.63            | 2.52             | 1232 | 0.118 | 12.13                 | 12.19                |
| 00188−0856  | 00:18:53.1 | −08:56:07 | 00:21:26.0 | −08:39:29 | 100.52       | −70.21       | 0.12            | 0.37             | 2.59            | 3.40             | 1332 | 0.128 | 12.20                 | 12.33                |
| 00397−1312  | 00:39:43.6 | −13:12:35 | 00:42:14.6 | −12:56:09 | 113.86       | −75.65       | 0.14            | 0.33             | 1.83            | 1.90             | aa32 | 0.261 | 12.72                 | 12.90                |
| 00456−2904  | 00:45:37.3 | −29:05:00 | 00:48:03.6 | −28:48:38 | 326.65       | −88.16       | 0.08            | 0.14             | 2.60            | 3.38             | 1232 | 0.110 | 12.06                 | 12.12                |
| 00482−2721  | 00:48:13.6 | −27:21:02 | 00:50:40.0 | −27:04:43 | 49.02        | −89.82       | 0.10            | 0.18             | 1.13            | 1.84             | 1132 | 0.129 | 11.89                 | 12.00                |
| 01004−2237  | 01:00:24.8 | −22:37:57 | 01:02:51.2 | −22:21:51 | 152.09       | −84.58       | 0.11            | 0.66             | 2.29            | 1.79             | a332 | 0.118 | 12.08                 | 12.24                |
| 01166−0844  | 01:16:36.7 | −08:45:03 | 01:19:07.1 | −08:29:18 | 143.57       | −70.24       | 0.07            | 0.17             | 1.74            | 1.42             | a232 | 0.118 | 11.96                 | 12.03                |
| 01199−2307  | 01:19:58.2 | −23:07:41 | 01:22:22.1 | −22:52:01 | 183.31       | −81.80       | 0.11            | 0.16             | 1.61            | 1.37             | 1132 | 0.156 | 12.18                 | 12.26                |
| 01298−0744  | 01:29:49.9 | −07:44:40 | 01:32:20.2 | −07:29:16 | 151.08       | −68.10       | 0.12            | 0.19             | 2.47            | 2.08             | 1a32 | 0.136 | 12.24                 | 12.27                |
| 01355−1814  | 01:35:33.3 | −18:14:34 | 01:37:58.1 | −17:59:20 | 174.91       | −75.91       | 0.06            | 0.12             | 1.40            | 1.74             | 1a32 | 0.192 | 12.31                 | 12.39                |
| 01494−1845  | 01:49:27.1 | −18:45:39 | 01:51:50.4 | −18:30:51 | 184.30       | −73.65       | 0.08            | 0.15             | 1.29            | 1.85             | 1132 | 0.158 | 12.11                 | 12.23                |
| 01569−2939  | 01:56:58.0 | −29:39:10 | 01:59:13.1 | −29:24:38 | 225.60       | −74.92       | 0.11            | 0.14             | 1.73            | 1.51             | 1232 | 0.141 | 12.11                 | 12.15                |
| 01572+0009  | 01:57:16.8 | 00:09:13  | 01:59:50.7 | 00:23:44  | 156.57       | −57.94       | 0.12            | 0.54             | 2.22            | 2.16             | 2332 | 0.163 | 12.36                 | 12.53                |
| 02021−2103  | 02:02:08.0 | −21:03:56 | 02:04:28.6 | −20:49:35 | 196.15       | −72.19       | 0.07            | 0.30             | 1.45            | 1.72             | 1232 | 0.116 | 11.85                 | 12.01                |
| 02411+0353  | 02:41:08.8 | 03:53:54  | 02:43:45.6 | 04:06:35  | 168.20       | −48.64       | 0.08            | 0.22             | 1.37            | 1.95             | 1232 | 0.144 | 12.05                 | 12.19                |
| 02480−3745  | 02:48:03.0 | −37:45:07 | 02:50:02.1 | −37:32:45 | 243.12       | −63.02       | 0.05            | 0.11             | 1.25            | 1.49             | 1132 | 0.165 | 12.11                 | 12.23                |
| 03209−0806  | 03:20:57.1 | −08:06:53 | 03:23:23.6 | −07:56:16 | 192.01       | −49.26       | 0.10            | 0.13             | 1.00            | 1.69             | 1132 | 0.166 | 12.08                 | 12.19                |
| 03250+1606  | 03:25:00.6 | 16:06:37  | 03:27:49.6 | 16:16:59  | 168.70       | −32.35       | 0.10            | 0.15             | 1.38            | 1.77             | 1132 | 0.129 | 11.94                 | 12.06                |
| Z03521+0028 | 03:52:08.5 | 00:28:20  | 03:54:42.8 | 00:37:07  | 188.39       | −37.97       | 0.11            | 0.20             | 2.52            | 3.62             | 1222 | 0.152 | 12.36                 | 12.45                |
| 04074−2801  | 04:07:27.9 | −28:01:30 | 04:09:30.3 | −27:53:41 | 225.90       | −46.39       | 0.07            | 0.07             | 1.33            | 1.72             | 1a32 | 0.153 | 12.07                 | 12.14                |
| 04103−2838  | 04:10:18.8 | −28:38:00 | 04:12:20.2 | −28:30:22 | 226.93       | −45.89       | 0.08            | 0.54             | 1.82            | 1.71             | 2332 | 0.118 | 11.97                 | 12.15                |
| 04313−1649  | 04:31:22.6 | −16:49:48 | 04:33:37.7 | −16:43:34 | 213.59       | −37.84       | 0.07            | 0.07             | 1.01            | 1.10             | 1232 | 0.268 | 12.48                 | 12.55                |
| 05020−2941  | 05:02:03.9 | −29:41:04 | 05:04:00.8 | −29:36:57 | 231.51       | −35.09       | 0.06            | 0.10             | 1.93            | 2.06             | 1232 | 0.154 | 12.24                 | 12.28                |
| 05024−1941  | 05:02:26.3 | −19:41:10 | 05:04:36.9 | −19:37:05 | 220.10       | −32.00       | 0.15            | 0.14             | 1.06            | 1.34             | a232 | 0.192 | 12.19                 | 12.43                |
| 05156−3024  | 05:15:36.6 | −30:24:23 | 05:17:31.9 | −30:21:14 | 233.25       | −32.44       | 0.08            | 0.10             | 1.16            | 1.40             | 1232 | 0.171 | 12.12                 | 12.20                |
| 05189−2524  | 05:18:58.9 | −25:24:40 | 05:21:01.4 | −25:21:46 | 227.89       | −30.29       | 0.73            | 3.44             | 13.67           | 11.36            | 3332 | 0.042 | 11.91                 | 12.07                |
| 07599+6508  | 07:59:55.6 | 65:08:18  | 08:04:33.1 | 64:59:49  | 151.21       | 32.09        | 0.26            | 0.53             | 1.69            | 1.73             | 3332 | 0.149 | 12.16                 | 12.46                |
| 08201+2801  | 08:20:07.5 | 28:01:27  | 08:23:10.1 | 27:51:46  | 195.29       | 31.31        | 0.09            | 0.15             | 1.17            | 1.43             | 1a32 | 0.168 | 12.11                 | 12.23                |
| 08474+1813  | 08:47:28.1 | 18:13:14  | 08:50:18.0 | 18:02:01  | 208.74       | 34.10        | 0.10            | 0.19             | 1.28            | 1.54             | 1132 | 0.145 | 12.01                 | 12.13                |
| 08559+1053  | 08:55:58.9 | 10:53:01  | 08:58:41.7 | 10:41:21  | 217.80       | 33.07        | 0.10            | 0.19             | 1.12            | 1.95             | 1232 | 0.148 | 12.03                 | 12.16                |
| 08572+3915  | 08:57:12.6 | 39:15:41  | 09:00:25.0 | 39:03:56  | 183.40       | 41.00        | 0.32            | 1.70             | 7.43            | 4.59             | 3332 | 0.058 | 12.01                 | 12.11                |
| 08591+5248  | 08:59:10.1 | 52:48:30  | 09:02:45.6 | 52:36:39  | 165.42       | 40.94        | 0.10            | 0.16             | 1.01            | 1.53             | 1132 | 0.158 | 12.02                 | 12.14                |
| 09039+0503  | 09:03:55.7 | 05:03:34  | 09:06:33.4 | 04:51:29  | 225.01       | 32.12        | 0.07            | 0.12             | 1.48            | 2.06             | aa32 | 0.125 | 11.95                 | 12.07                |
| 09116+0334  | 09:11:38.4 | 03:34:22  | 09:14:14.8 | 03:21:54  | 227.68       | 33.04        | 0.09            | 0.14             | 1.09            | 1.82             | 1132 | 0.146 | 12.00                 | 12.11                |
| 09463+8141  | 09:46:21.6 | 81:41:37  | 09:52:58.2 | 81:27:33  | 130.08       | 33.03        | 0.07            | 0.07             | 1.43            | 2.29             | 1132 | 0.156 | 12.17                 | 12.29                |
| 09539+0857  | 09:53:56.4 | 08:57:18  | 09:56:35.5 | 08:43:01  | 228.55       | 44.83        | 0.15            | 0.15             | 1.44            | 1.04             | 1132 | 0.129 | 11.99                 | 12.03                |
| 10035+2740  | 10:03:36.1 | 27:40:20  | 10:06:26.6 | 27:25:41  | 202.70       | 53.51        | 0.14            | 0.17             | 1.14            | 1.63             | 1132 | 0.165 | 12.10                 | 12.22                |
| 10091+4704  | 10:09:10.0 | 47:04:34  | 10:12:16.6 | 46:49:44  | 169.86       | 53.17        | 0.06            | 0.08             | 1.18            | 1.55             | 1132 | 0.246 | 12.48                 | 12.67                |
| 10190+1322  | 10:19:01.5 | 13:22:10  | 10:21:41.9 | 13:07:01  | 227.21       | 52.35        | 0.07            | 0.38             | 3.33            | 5.57             | 1232 | 0.077 | 11.90                 | 12.00                |
| 10378+1108  | 10:37:52.0 | 11:08:48  | 10:40:30.2 | 10:53:07  | 234.38       | 55.21        | 0.11            | 0.24             | 2.28            | 1.82             | 1232 | 0.136 | 12.21                 | 12.26                |
| 10485−1447  | 10:48:35.3 | −14:47:25 | 10:51:03.7 | −15:03:21 | 264.59       | 38.69        | 0.11            | 0.25             | 1.73            | 1.66             | 1a32 | 0.133 | 12.06                 | 12.17                |
| 10494+4424  | 10:49:29.1 | 44:24:56  | 10:52:22.2 | 44:08:59  | 169.61       | 60.68        | 0.12            | 0.16             | 3.53            | 5.41             | 1232 | 0.092 | 12.07                 | 12.13                |
| 10594+3818  | 10:59:26.5 | 38:18:45  | 11:02:13.7 | 38:02:36  | 180.52       | 64.67        | 0.09            | 0.15             | 1.29            | 1.89             | 1132 | 0.158 | 12.12                 | 12.24                |
| 11028+3130  | 11:02:54.2 | 31:30:42  | 11:05:37.8 | 31:14:29  | 196.47       | 66.60        | 0.09            | 0.09             | 1.02            | 1.44             | 1a32 | 0.199 | 12.23                 | 12.32                |
| 11095−0238  | 11:09:29.5 | −02:37:59 | 11:12:02.6 | −02:54:18 | 260.45       | 51.58        | 0.06            | 0.42             | 3.25            | 2.53             | a332 | 0.106 | 12.14                 | 12.20                |
| 11119+3257  | 11:11:56.8 | 32:57:54  | 11:14:39.3 | 32:41:33  | 192.18       | 68.36        | 0.17            | 0.35             | 1.59            | 1.52             | 3332 | 0.189 | 12.36                 | 12.58                |
| 11130−2659  | 11:13:03.2 | −26:59:48 | 11:15:30.2 | −27:16:10 | 278.02       | 30.92        | 0.09            | 0.20             | 1.21            | 1.24             | 1232 | 0.136 | 11.93                 | 12.05                |
| 11180+1623  | 11:18:06.7 | 16:23:15  | 11:20:43.9 | 16:06:49  | 235.93       | 66.27        | 0.08            | 0.19             | 1.19            | 1.60             | 1132 | 0.166 | 12.12                 | 12.24                |
| 11223−1244  | 11:22:18.8 | −12:44:41 | 11:24:50.1 | −13:01:11 | 272.56       | 44.69        | 0.07            | 0.16             | 1.52            | 2.26             | 1132 | 0.199 | 12.41                 | 12.59                |
| 11387+4116  | 11:38:43.6 | 41:16:25  | 11:41:22.4 | 40:59:47  | 164.56       | 70.00        | 0.12            | 0.14             | 1.02            | 1.51             | a132 | 0.149 | 11.97                 | 12.18                |
| 11506+1331  | 11:50:38.9 | 13:31:18  | 11:53:13.2 | 13:14:36  | 255.63       | 70.56        | 0.10            | 0.19             | 2.58            | 3.32             | 1a32 | 0.127 | 12.20                 | 12.28                |
| 11582+3020  | 11:58:13.1 | 30:20:58  | 12:00:46.9 | 30:04:16  | 195.13       | 78.51        | 0.10            | 0.15             | 1.13            | 1.49             | 1132 | 0.223 | 12.37                 | 12.56                |
| Z11598−0112 | 11:59:52.7 | −01:12:31 | 12:02:26.5 | −01:29:14 | 278.58       | 59.05        | 0.14            | 0.38             | 2.39            | 2.63             | 1122 | 0.151 | 12.32                 | 12.43                |
| 12018+1941  | 12:01:51.1 | 19:41:50  | 12:04:24.5 | 19:25:08  | 246.00       | 76.74        | 0.11            | 0.37             | 1.76            | 1.78             | 1232 | 0.168 | 12.29                 | 12.44                |
| 12032+1707  | 12:03:12.5 | 17:08:01  | 12:05:45.9 | 16:51:19  | 254.78       | 75.26        | 0.14            | 0.25             | 1.36            | 1.54             | 1a32 | 0.217 | 12.42                 | 12.57                |
| 12072−0444  | 12:07:11.4 | −04:44:32 | 12:09:45.4 | −05:01:14 | 283.98       | 56.31        | 0.12            | 0.54             | 2.46            | 2.47             | 1332 | 0.129 | 12.19                 | 12.35                |
| 12112+0305  | 12:11:13.7 | 03:05:15  | 12:13:47.3 | 02:48:34  | 281.00       | 64.07        | 0.12            | 0.51             | 8.50            | 9.98             | a332 | 0.073 | 12.21                 | 12.28                |
| 12127−1412  | 12:12:43.3 | −14:12:58 | 12:15:18.1 | −14:29:38 | 289.94       | 47.46        | 0.13            | 0.24             | 1.54            | 1.13             | 1232 | 0.133 | 12.04                 | 12.10                |
| 12265+0219  | 12:26:34.1 | 02:19:37  | 12:29:07.6 | 02:03:02  | 289.96       | 64.36        | 0.55            | 0.90             | 2.06            | 2.89             | 3332 | 0.159 | 12.32                 | 12.73                |
| 12359−0725  | 12:35:57.0 | −07:25:59 | 12:38:32.2 | −07:42:28 | 297.35       | 55.02        | 0.09            | 0.15             | 1.33            | 1.12             | aa32 | 0.138 | 11.99                 | 12.11                |
| 12447+3721  | 12:44:45.5 | 37:21:52  | 12:47:09.1 | 37:05:30  | 127.86       | 80.00        | 0.12            | 0.10             | 1.04            | 0.84             | 1a32 | 0.158 | 12.02                 | 12.06                |
| 12540+5708  | 12:54:05.8 | 57:08:30  | 12:56:15.0 | 56:52:17  | 121.61       | 60.24        | 1.87            | 8.66             | 31.99           | 30.29            | 3332 | 0.042 | 12.32                 | 12.50                |
| 13106−0922  | 13:10:37.2 | −09:22:13 | 13:13:14.4 | −09:38:06 | 311.85       | 52.86        | 0.12            | 0.06             | 1.24            | 1.89             | 1122 | 0.174 | 12.20                 | 12.32                |
| 13218+0552  | 13:21:50.2 | 05:52:34  | 13:24:21.6 | 05:36:57  | 324.41       | 67.10        | 0.26            | 0.40             | 1.17            | 0.71             | 3332 | 0.205 | 12.38                 | 12.63                |
| 13305−1739  | 13:30:33.1 | −17:39:38 | 13:33:15.2 | −17:55:01 | 316.78       | 43.83        | 0.09            | 0.39             | 1.16            | 1.04             | 1232 | 0.148 | 11.99                 | 12.21                |
| 13335−2612  | 13:33:35.1 | −26:12:13 | 13:36:22.2 | −26:27:31 | 315.28       | 35.33        | 0.13            | 0.14             | 1.40            | 2.10             | 1132 | 0.125 | 11.94                 | 12.06                |
| 13342+3932  | 13:34:12.9 | 39:32:42  | 13:36:24.5 | 39:17:25  | 88.17        | 74.65        | 0.06            | 0.27             | 1.11            | 1.63             | aa32 | 0.179 | 12.17                 | 12.37                |
| 13428+5608  | 13:42:51.4 | 56:08:15  | 13:44:41.8 | 55:53:14  | 108.11       | 59.68        | 0.24            | 2.28             | 21.74           | 21.38            | 3332 | 0.037 | 12.04                 | 12.10                |
| 13443+0802  | 13:44:21.8 | 08:       |            |           |              |              |                 |                  |                 |                  |      |       |                       |                      |



TABLE 2  
REDSHIFTS

| Name<br>IRAS FSC | our work<br>$z_{\text{opt}}$ | previous values<br>$z_{\text{opt}}$ | Ref        | Other Names         |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| 00091−0738       | 0.118                        | 0.118                               | (18)       |                     |
| 00188−0856       | 0.128                        | 0.129                               | (18)       |                     |
| 00397−1312       | 0.261                        | 0.262                               | (19)       |                     |
| 00456−2904       | 0.110                        | 0.110                               | (1)        |                     |
| 00482−2721       | 0.130                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 01004−2237       | 0.118                        | 0.118                               | (1)        |                     |
| 01166−0844       | 0.118                        | 0.118                               | (19)       |                     |
| 01199−2307       | 0.156                        | 0.156                               | (1)        |                     |
| 01298−0744       | 0.136                        | 0.136                               | (18)       |                     |
| 01355−1814       | 0.192                        | 0.191                               | (19)       |                     |
| 01494−1845       | 0.158                        | 0.157                               | (1)        |                     |
| 01569−2939       | 0.141                        | 0.140                               | (1)        | TXS0156-296         |
| 01572+0009       | 0.163                        | 0.163                               | (10)       | Mrk1014, PG0157+001 |
| 02021−2103       | 0.116                        | 0.116                               | (1)        |                     |
| 02411+0353       | 0.144                        | 0.144                               | (19)       |                     |
| 02480−3745       | 0.165                        | 0.165                               | (19)       |                     |
| 03209−0806       | 0.166                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 03250+1606       | 0.129                        | 0.129                               | (19)       |                     |
| Z03521+0028      | 0.152                        | 0.152                               | (18)       |                     |
| 04074−2801       | 0.153                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 04103−2838       | 0.118                        | 0.117                               | (19)       |                     |
| 04313−1649       | 0.268                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 05020−2941       | 0.154                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 05024−1941       | 0.192                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 05156−3024       | 0.171                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 05189−2524       | 0.042                        | 0.043                               | (13)       |                     |
| 07599+6508       | 0.149                        | 0.149, 0.148                        | (14), (19) | [HB89]0759+65       |
| 08201+2801       | 0.168                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 08474+1813       | 0.145                        | 0.146                               | (19)       |                     |
| 08559+1053       | 0.148                        | 0.149                               | (8)        |                     |
| 08572+3915       | 0.058                        | 0.058                               | (13)       |                     |
| 08591+5248       | 0.158                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 09039+0503       | 0.125                        | 0.125                               | (19)       |                     |
| 09116+0334       | 0.146                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 09463+8141       | 0.156                        | 0.155                               | (19)       |                     |
| 09539+0857       | 0.129                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 10035+2740       | 0.165                        | ...                                 | ...        |                     |
| 10091+4704       | 0.246                        | 0.245                               | (19)       |                     |
| 10190+1322       | 0.077                        | 0.077                               | (18)       |                     |
| 10378+1108       | 0.136                        | 0.136                               | (18)       |                     |
| 10485−1447       | 0.134                        | 0.133                               | (3)        |                     |
| 10494+4424       | 0.092                        | 0.092                               | (18)       |                     |
| 10594+3818       | 0.158                        | 0.157                               | (19)       |                     |

TABLE 2—*Continued*

| Name<br>IRAS FSC | our work<br>$z_{\text{opt}}$ | previous values<br>$z_{\text{opt}}$ | Ref        | Other Names                    |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| 11028+3130       | 0.199                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 11095−0238       | 0.106                        | 0.106                               | (18)       |                                |
| 11119+3257       | 0.189                        | 0.187                               | (3)        |                                |
| 11130−2659       | 0.136                        | ...                                 | ...        | AM1113-270                     |
| 11180+1623       | 0.166                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 11223−1244       | 0.199                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 11387+4116       | 0.149                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 11506+1331       | 0.127                        | 0.127                               | (18)       |                                |
| 11582+3020       | 0.223                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| Z11598−0112      | 0.151                        | 0.151                               | (18)       | [MHH96]J120226-012948          |
| 12018+1941       | 0.168                        | 0.168, 0.169                        | (4), (19)  |                                |
| 12032+1707       | 0.217                        | 0.168                               | (19)       |                                |
| 12072−0444       | 0.129                        | 0.128                               | (14)       |                                |
| 12112+0305       | 0.073                        | 0.073, 0.072                        | (13), (18) |                                |
| 12127−1412       | 0.133                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 12265+0219       | ...                          | 0.159, 0.158                        | (15), (18) | 3C273, PG1226+023, H1226+023   |
| 12359−0725       | 0.138                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 12447+3721       | 0.158                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 12540+5708       | 0.042                        | 0.042                               | (3)        | UGC08058, Mrk231, VII Zw490    |
| 13106−0922       | 0.174                        | 0.174                               | (19)       |                                |
| 13218+0552       | 0.205                        | 0.190, 0.205                        | (9), (19)  | [HB89]1321+058, H1321+058      |
| 13305−1739       | 0.148                        | 0.148                               | (1)        |                                |
| 13335−2612       | 0.125                        | 0.125                               | (1)        |                                |
| 13342+3932       | 0.179                        | 0.179                               | (17)       |                                |
| 13428+5608       | 0.037                        | 0.037                               | (13)       | UGC8696, Mrk273, I Zw71, VV851 |
| 13443+0802       | 0.135                        | 0.135                               | (19)       |                                |
| 13451+1232       | 0.122                        | 0.122                               | (6)        | 4C12.50                        |
| 13454−2956       | 0.129                        | 0.129, 0.076                        | (1), (18)  |                                |
| 13469+5833       | 0.158                        | 0.158                               | (19)       |                                |
| 13509+0442       | 0.136                        | 0.189                               | (19)       |                                |
| 13539+2920       | 0.108                        | 0.108                               | (18)       |                                |
| 14053−1958       | 0.161                        | 0.162                               | (1)        |                                |
| 14060+2919       | 0.117                        | 0.117                               | (17)       |                                |
| 14070+0525       | 0.265                        | 0.265                               | (19)       |                                |
| 14121−0126       | 0.151                        | 0.150                               | (19)       |                                |
| 14197+0813       | 0.131                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 14202+2615       | 0.159                        | 0.158                               | (17)       |                                |
| 14252−1550       | 0.149                        | 0.150                               | (19)       |                                |
| 14348−1447       | 0.083                        | 0.082                               | (13)       |                                |
| 14394+5332       | 0.105                        | 0.105                               | (17)       |                                |
| 14485−2434       | 0.148                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |
| 15001+1433       | 0.162                        | 0.163                               | (18)       |                                |
| 15043+5754       | 0.151                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                |

TABLE 2—*Continued*

| Name<br>IRAS FSC | our work<br>$z_{\text{opt}}$ | previous values<br>$z_{\text{opt}}$ | Ref        | Other Names                       |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 15130–1958       | 0.109                        | 0.109                               | (18)       |                                   |
| 15206+3342       | 0.125                        | 0.125, 0.124                        | (14), (19) |                                   |
| 15225+2350       | 0.139                        | 0.138                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 15327+2340       | 0.018                        | 0.018                               | (12)       | UGC09913, IC4553/4, VV540, Arp220 |
| 15462–0450       | 0.100                        | 0.101                               | (18)       |                                   |
| 16090–0139       | 0.134                        | 0.134                               | (18)       |                                   |
| 16156+0146       | 0.132                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                   |
| 16300+1558       | 0.242                        | 0.242                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 16333+4630       | 0.191                        | 0.191                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 16468+5200       | 0.150                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                   |
| 16474+3430       | 0.111                        | 0.111                               | (18)       |                                   |
| 16487+5447       | 0.104                        | 0.104                               | (18)       |                                   |
| 17028+5817       | 0.106                        | 0.106                               | (18)       |                                   |
| 17044+6720       | 0.135                        | 0.135                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 17068+4027       | 0.179                        | 0.072                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 17179+5444       | 0.147                        | 0.147                               | (19)       | TXS1717+547                       |
| 20414–1651       | 0.086                        | 0.087                               | (18)       |                                   |
| 21208–0519       | 0.130                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                   |
| 21219–1757       | 0.112                        | 0.113                               | (8)        | [HB89]2121-179                    |
| 21329–2346       | 0.125                        | 0.125                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 21477+0502       | 0.171                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                   |
| 22088–1831       | 0.170                        | 0.170                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 22206–2715       | 0.132                        | 0.131                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 22491–1808       | 0.076                        | 0.076, 0.078                        | (16), (18) |                                   |
| 22541+0833       | 0.166                        | 0.166                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 23060+0505       | 0.184                        | 0.174                               | (7)        |                                   |
| 23129+2548       | 0.179                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                   |
| 23233+2817       | 0.114                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                   |
| 23234+0946       | 0.128                        | 0.128                               | (19)       |                                   |
| 23327+2913       | 0.107                        | 0.107                               | (18)       |                                   |
| 23389+0300       | 0.145                        | 0.145                               | (19)       | 4C03.60                           |
| 23498+2423       | 0.212                        | ...                                 | ...        |                                   |

REFERENCES.—Redshifts: 1 - Allen et al. (1991), 2 - Arakelyan et al. (1971), 3 - Armus, Heckman & Miley (1989), 4 - Baan (1989), 5 - Bottinelli et al. (1987) 6 - Grandi (1977), 7 - Hill, Wynn-Williams & Becklin (1987), 8 - Hill et al. (1988), 9 - Low et al. (1988), 10 - MacKenty & Stockton (1984), 11 - Melnick & Mirabel (1990), 12 - Mirabel (1982), 13 - Sanders et al. (1988a), 14 - Sanders et al. (1988b), 15 - Schmidt (1963), 16 - Soifer et al. (1987), 17 - Strauss & Huchra (1988), 18 - Strauss et al. (1992), 19 - Fisher et al. (1995)



TABLE 3  
LUMINOSITY FUNCTION

| $\log L_{\text{ir}}$<br>[ $L_{\odot}$ ] | $\Phi$<br>[ $\text{Mpc}^{-3} (\text{Mag}/2)^{-1}$ ] | $\langle V/V_{\text{m}} \rangle^{\text{a}}$ |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 12.0–12.2                               | $1.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-7}$                        | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ (63)                        |
| 12.2–12.4                               | $3.9 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-8}$                        | $0.55 \pm 0.06$ (35)                        |
| 12.4–12.6                               | $9.6 \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-9}$                        | $0.50 \pm 0.08$ (14)                        |
| 12.6–12.8                               | $2.2 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-9}$                        | $0.61 \pm 0.15$ (5)                         |
| 12.8–13.0                               | $1.7 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-10}$                       | $0.40 \pm 0.29$ (1)                         |

<sup>a</sup>Number in parenthesis represents number of galaxies used for statistics.

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig2a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig2b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig2c.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig2d.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig2e.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>



This figure "kim\_p1\_fig5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>

This figure "kim\_p1\_fig6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9806148v1>